

## Document Log Item

<b>Addressing</b>			
<b>From</b>	<b>To</b>		
Christine Katin/R9/USEPA/US	Robert Carr/R9/USEPA/US@EPA Karen Goldberg/R9/USEPA/US@EPA		
<b>CC</b>	<b>BCC</b>		
<b>Description</b> <span>Form Used: Memo</span>			
<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date/Time</b>		
Treasure Island questions- Site 11 Draft Interim RI Report	08/04/2009 05:07 PM		
<b># of Attachments</b>	<b>Total Bytes</b>	<b>NPM</b>	<b>Contributor</b>
1	7,778		
<b>Processing</b>			
<b>Comments</b>			

Body

## Document Body

(b) (5)



Christine Katin  
U.S. EPA, Region 9  
San Francisco, CA  
(415) 972-3112

----- Forwarded by Christine Katin/R9/USEPA/US on 08/04/2009 05:03 PM -----

From: "Ross Steenson" <RSteenson@waterboards.ca.gov>  
To: "Remedios Sunga" <rsunga@dtsc.ca.gov>, Christine Katin/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 08/04/2009 10:38 AM  
Subject: Treasure Island - Navy draft RTCs on Site 11 Draft Interim RI Report

---

Hi Medi and Christine,

Just to follow up on my call to each of you earlier ... based on my review of the subject RTCs, there are a few things that I think we should discuss amongst ourselves before we individually respond back to the Navy (Scott has asked for concurrence or further comments by August 17). They are:

(1) Revised Draft vs. Final Report - Given the significant revisions that the Navy is making to the document as the result of the comments, I am thinking that the Navy should issue a Revised Draft Interim RI so that we all can review the new version and comment.

(2) Responsible Entity - As Christine has pointed out, the document is not clear regarding what entity is responsible for continuing the CERCLA process and what entity is ultimately responsible. Caltrans, in their comments, has rebutted the Navy's statement in the document about Caltrans agreeing to take future remedial actions, and in the RTCs the Navy has backed down. I'm in favor of requesting that this be clarified in the document.

(3) Exposed Waste at the Shoreline - Based on the Navy response to my Specific Comment #2 about exposed waste at the shoreline, I am currently in discussions with my management about potential next steps, and I plan to ask the Navy and Caltrans for a site visit so that I can observe conditions firsthand.

Also, as I recall, Ryan had originally conveyed DTSC's comments and since he is on vacation until August 24, we may want to ask Scott for an extension to allow Ryan's input.

Regards,

Ross

Ross A. Steenson, PG, CHG  
Engineering Geologist  
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Groundwater Protection Division  
1515 Clay Street, Suite 1400  
Oakland, CA 94612  
Phone: (510) 622-2445  
Fax: (510) 622-2460  
Email: [rsteenson@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:rsteenson@waterboards.ca.gov)



Ross Steenson.vcf

